

Journal Policies and Submission Guidelines for International Contributors

Institute of Chung-Ang Philosophical Studies

1. Call for Manuscripts

1) Types of Manuscripts

- (1) Research Articles: Articles on freely chosen topics.
- (2) Book Reviews: Reviews of domestic or international books or translations published within the last three years.
- (3) Article Reviews: Reviews of major articles published within the last two years in Philosophical Investigation or in journals indexed, or listed as candidate journals, by the National Research Foundation of Korea.

2) Length

- (1) Research Article: Within 13,000 words including abstract, bibliography and footnotes.
- (2) Book Review: Approximately 5,000 words
- (3) Article Review: Approximately 3,000 words

3) Submission Requirements

- (1) Manuscript Preparation: Manuscripts should be prepared using Hangul Word Processor 97 or later. International contributors may prepare manuscripts using MS Word. Manuscripts should contain an unstructured abstract of approximately 200 words.
- (2) Submission: Submit manuscripts online at philinst.jams.or.kr through the Online Manuscript Submission System (JAMS). **International contributors may submit manuscripts by e-mail to philinst@cau.ac.kr**
- (3) Required Information: The contributor's name, affiliation, address, and e-mail address.
- (4) Receipt of Manuscripts: The journal is published quarterly, on February 28, May 31, August 31, and November 30. Manuscripts are accepted year-round.

4) Submission Office

Online submission: philinst.jams.or.kr, Online Manuscript Submission System (JAMS).
Address: Editorial Board of Philosophical Investigation, Institute of Chung-Ang Philosophical Studies, Room 802, Building 203, Chung-Ang University, 84 Heukseok-ro, Dongjak-gu, Seoul, Republic of Korea. (Postcode: 06974)
Tel.: +82-2-820-6377
E-mail: philinst@cau.ac.kr

2. Submission Regulations

1) Style Guidelines

International contributors should follow the latest **Chicago Manual of Style**.

2) Reference List Preparation Guidelines

- (1) A list of references must be prepared and attached at the end of the manuscript.
- (2) Only works cited or referred to in the body text should be included.
- (3) References should be listed in the following order: Korean-language works, Chinese-character works, and other foreign-language works. Within each category, works should be arranged according to the Korean alphabetical order of the authors' names or in Roman alphabetical order.

3) Abstract

- (1) An abstract of approximately 200 words must be prepared on the first page, preceding the main text, using the following format:

【Title】

【Author's Name】

【Subject Classification】 Select two or three subjects according to conventional categories, such as ontology, epistemology, ethics, aesthetics, logic, ancient philosophy, Buddhist philosophy, and French philosophy.

【Keywords】 Select approximately five key concepts or figures discussed in the article.

【Abstract】 Abstract should clearly present the author's central thesis, focusing on the topic of the discussion and the major related problems, concepts, or arguments.

4) Author Indication

When there are two or more authors, it must be clearly indicated whether each author is the first author or a co-author. Authors' English names should be written in the form <Family Name, Given Name>.

5) Other Matters

The contributor bears final responsibility for the content of the submitted article.

3. Review Process and Publication Principles

1) Review Process

- (1) Once the manuscript deadline has passed, the Editor-in-Chief removes all personal information from the manuscripts, prepares a list of submitted articles, and refers both to the Editorial Board.
- (2) Three reviewers are appointed for each article. Reviewers are appointed by the Editorial Board.
- (3) When requesting a review, all personal information that could identify the contributor, including the contributor's name and affiliation, is removed.
- (4) The editor-in-chief and editorial secretary send each reviewer one copy of the manuscript for review along with the review evaluation form.
- (5) Reviewers upload their review results to the Online Manuscript Submission System (JAMS). The editorial secretary organizes and compiles the collected evaluation forms and prepares a list.
- (6) After the list has been prepared, the editor-in-chief convenes the editorial board to decide which articles will be selected for publication in accordance with the

evaluation criteria for published articles.

(7) Contributors are promptly notified of the publication decision and any instructions for revision.

2) Review Regulations

(1) Reviewers evaluate the manuscript on the basis of the review request form and provide a comprehensive judgment in the designated 'Review Result Report'. Reviewers must specify the basis for their judgment, and, when proposing revisions, must clearly state the parts to be revised and the direction of revision.

(2) The review consists of evaluations in individual areas and an overall evaluation.

(3) The individual areas for article review are as follows:

- ① Compliance with research ethics
- ② Originality of the research topic
- ③ Clarity of the research topic and appropriateness of methodology
- ④ Usefulness of references and appropriateness of citations
- ⑤ Academic value and completeness (quality of the paper)
- ⑥ Compliance with journal submission regulations

(4) In the overall evaluation, on the basis of the evaluations in the individual areas, each reviewer assigns the article one of three grades: 'Accept', 'Accept with Revisions', or 'Reject'.

(5) Only papers rated 'Accept' or 'Accept with Revisions' are eligible for publication. (See detailed regulations on paper selection)

(6) Articles judged 'Accept' may be published immediately without revision. For articles judged 'Accept with Revisions', publication is decided after confirming whether the required revisions have been completed.

(7) If there are too many accepted papers to publish in one issue, the editorial committee ranks them ('Accept', 'Accept with Revisions', etc.) and decides on publication in the current or next issue.

(9) Review comments and revision suggestions must be detailed and specific; the overall evaluation grade must correspond with the detailed evaluations. Reasons for rejection must be clearly and specifically stated in the review report.

(10) The editor-in-chief notifies all authors of the final review results.

3) Detailed Regulations on Paper Selection

(1) Accept

Papers are accepted if:

- All three reviewers rate 'Accept'
- Two reviewers rate 'Accept' and one rates 'Accept with Revisions'

(2) Accept with Revisions

Papers are accepted with revisions if:

- One reviewer rates 'Accept' and two rate 'Accept with Revisions'
- All three reviewers rate 'Accept with Revisions'

The editorial committee reviews the revisions before final acceptance.

(3) Re-review after Revision (by a fourth reviewer or editorial committee)

All review results that include one 'Reject' judgment are subject to re-review after revision.

(4) Reject

Articles are rejected if two or more reviewers rate 'Reject'.

4) Copyright

(1) For articles published in this journal, copyright is held jointly by the journal and the author of the article.

(2) Copyright may be exercised at the discretion of the copyright holder.

(3) When submitting an article through the Online Manuscript Submission System, the author must attach a Copyright Transfer Agreement. Upon publication of the article, the author is deemed to have agreed to these copyright provisions.